

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



International Journal of Pharmaceutics 300 (2005) 32–37



www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpharm

# The influence of pellet shape, size and distribution on capsule filling—A preliminary evaluation of three-dimensional computer simulation using a Monte-Carlo technique

Raymond C. Rowe<sup>a,\*</sup>, Peter York<sup>a</sup>, Elizabeth A. Colbourn<sup>b</sup>, Stephen J. Roskilly <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *The PROFITS Group, Institute of Pharmaceutical Innovation, University of Bradford, Bradford BD7 1DP, UK* <sup>b</sup> *Intelligensys Ltd., Belasis Business Centre, Billingham TS23 4EA, UK*

> Received 21 March 2005; received in revised form 9 May 2005; accepted 9 May 2005 Available online 5 July 2005

#### **Abstract**

A computer simulation based on a Monte-Carlo technique has been developed and used to investigate the influence of pellet size, dispersity, shape and aggregation on the filling of hard shell capsules. The simulations are in general agreement with experimental observations previously reported. The results also confirm recent findings that filling is a function of pellet shape and that above an aspect ratio value of 1.2 filling reproducibility is reduced. The methodology is simple and rapid in execution allowing many computer-based experiments to be performed with minimum effort. © 2005 Published by Elsevier B.V.

*Keywords:* Pellets; Capsule filling; Computer simulation; Monte-Carlo

## **1. Introduction**

Hard shell capsules coating pellets are now a recognised formulation for controlled or modified release oral dosage forms and there are an increasing number of products in the market. They offer advantages

∗ Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 1274 235243; fax: +44 1274 234679.

*E-mail addresses:* r.c.rowe@bradford.ac.uk (R.C. Rowe), p.york@bradford.ac.uk (P. York), colbourn@intelligensys.co.uk (E.A. Colbourn), roskilly@intelligensys.co.uk (S.J. Roskilly).

over monolithic single dosage forms, such as tablets in that the risk of dose dumping is significantly reduced. Accuracy of filling is essential for dosage control but literature data on this aspect are sparse. [Marquardt and](#page-5-0) [Clement \(1970\)](#page-5-0) investigated the effect of pellet size concluding that any variation in dose was a consequence of fluctuations in particle size. In a later paper, [Pfeifer and Marquardt \(1986\)](#page-5-0) reported that errors in filling were a consequence either of aggregation or of the build up of electrostatic charge. Recently, [Chopra et](#page-5-0) [al. \(2002\)](#page-5-0) concluded that the pellets did not need to be perfectly spherical in shape but that there was a thresh-

<sup>0378-5173/\$ –</sup> see front matter © 2005 Published by Elsevier B.V. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2005.05.007

<span id="page-1-0"></span>old value for the aspect ratio of 1.2. In addition, both the surface roughness and the build up of electrostatic charge were important variables.

A problem with conclusions drawn from laboratory experiments is that they inherently depend on the experimental conditions and filling machine settings and it is difficult to decouple the interactions between variables. A simple way of factoring out these variables and to investigate individual variables independent of others is to use computer simulation. The aim of this work is to investigate the applicability of a Monte-Carlo technique to study the effects of pellet shape, size and size distribution on filling accuracy for different capsule sizes.

## **2. Materials and methods**

## *2.1. Capsule definition*

Filling simulations were performed on standard capsule sizes 0–4. The capsules were simulated as cylindrical tubes with rounded bottoms, with dimensions chosen to give the correct size of the capsule bodies as given by [Cole \(1987\).](#page-5-0) The sides and bottom of capsules were treated as hard walls, so that no part of any particle could cross them. The top of the capsule was treated as a 'soft' boundary, as introduced by [Evans and Ferrar \(1989\).](#page-5-0) A particle that crosses this boundary is permitted in the packing, provided the centre of gravity of that particle lies within the capsule.

## *2.2. Pellet shape definition*

Pellets varied in shape and included spheres of diameter 1 mm, ellipses (with diameter 1.0 and aspect ratios 1.2 and 1.5) and cylinders (with diameter 1 and an aspect ratio of 2). The elliptical and cylindrical pellet shapes, as shown in Fig. 1a–c, were each made of three overlapping spheres using the MacroPac ShapeBuilder as published by [Intelligensys \(2001\).](#page-5-0) The concept of using overlapping spheres to build up complex shapes was introduced by [Evans and Ferrar \(1989\)](#page-5-0) and is a particularly efficient way of studying the packing of non-spherical particles, since checking whether two particles collide reduces to a simple sphere-checking calculation that is quick to perform.



Fig. 1. Shapes of pellets and aggregates used in simulations (not to scale) with aspect ratios (AR) of 1.2 (a), 1.5 (b) and 2.0 (c). Details of the two aggregates (d and e) are given in the text.

Where pellets of different sizes were used, the size distributions were taken by selecting from a uniform distribution ranging from the minimum to the maximum values. The MacroPac ShapeBuilder incorporates a volume calculation for the non-spherical pellets, and hence packing volumes and fill weights (if a density is assumed) can be calculated.

Aggregates of pellets were also investigated, by building up two different structures of spheres with diameter 1 mm. These are shown in Fig. 1d and e. The first of these is a very loose aggregate made of seven spheres. The second is a more dense aggregate of five spheres, three in a triangular array, with single spheres above and below the 3-fold hollow.

## *2.3. Filling simulation*

A Monte-Carlo algorithm implemented in the MacroPac program from [Intelligensys \(2001\)](#page-5-0) was used to fill the capsules. This follows ideas introduced by [Soppe \(1990\)](#page-5-0) and [Rosato et al. \(1987\)](#page-5-0) but has been extended to encompass random numbers of nonspherical particles. Soppe's algorithm is a two-step

process: in stage 1, a defined number of particles is packed using a ballistic algorithm, and in stage 2, these particles are moved randomly using a Monte-Carlo algorithm, to simulate more densely packed systems. For the simulations reported here, because the number of particles to be packed is not known at the outset, Soppe's first step (ballistic packing of the particles) is inappropriate. In our algorithm, as each particle is packed, the whole system is subjected to a "settling" process; this is similar in concept to the second stage of Soppe's algorithm. In each Monte-Carlo step, a random particle is chosen, and is given a random displacement of magnitude between 0 and an upper limit *R*max. Non-spherical particles are also rotated randomly by a small amount. If the new position of the particle overlaps with any other particle, the move is rejected. If there is no overlap, the move is accepted, provided the displacement of the particle's centre of mass along the long axis of the capsule is negative (so that the particle moves towards the bottom of the capsule). Particles are not allowed to move outside the box. Within this procedure,  $R_{\text{max}}$  starts at a user-specified value, and decreases inversely with the number of unsuccessful attempts to move an object. Although in principle this algorithm might lead to size segregation, in practice the studies used here had sufficiently small polydispersity that this effect was not significant.

## *2.4. Analysis of results*

For each case, 10 different simulations were performed, using a different random seed in each case to start the Monte-Carlo calculation. Both the number of particles and the total volume and weights (assuming a constant density) of these particles were calculated. In all the simulations, a density of 1.0 was assumed. The mean weight  $\pm$  standard deviation was recorded.

# **3. Results**

## *3.1. The effect of pellet size*

Pellets manufactured by the process of extrusion and spheronisation generally have a mean size of between 0.8 and 1.2 mm depending on the diameter of the hole



Fig. 2. Computer simulations of a No. 0 capsules containing (a) 0.8 mm and (b) 1.0 mm mono-dispersed spherical pellets.

in the extruder die plate. Computer simulations of the packing of No. 0 capsules with mono-dispersed spherical pellets of mean sizes of 0.8 and 1.0 mm are shown in Fig. 2. Both simulations were completed within minutes despite the fact that the capsule filled with 0.8 mm pellets contained in excess of 1420 pellets. Fill weight data (Table 1) for all sizes of capsules showed a gradual decrease in fill weight and increasing variability with increasing pellet size confirming experimental observations ([Marquardt and Clement, 1970\).](#page-5-0)

Table 1 The effect of pellet size on fill weight

Capsule No.	Pellet size			
	$0.8 \,\mathrm{mm}$	1.0 <sub>mm</sub>	$1.2 \,\mathrm{mm}$	
$\theta$	$381.9 \pm 1.5$	$377.3 \pm 1.0$	$369.9 \pm 3.2$	
$\overline{1}$	$273.1 \pm 1.2$	$269.2 + 2.2$	$267.4 \pm 1.7$	
2	$210.2 \pm 1.0$	$207.5 \pm 1.4$	$205.8 \pm 2.2$	
3	$152.4 \pm 0.8$	$150.7 \pm 1.2$	$149.4 \pm 2.4$	
$\overline{4}$	$135.8 \pm 0.9$	$134.8 \pm 1.2$	$133.9 \pm 1.3$	

All pellets uniform size, weights in mg.



Fig. 3. Computer simulation of a No. 0 capsules containing 1.0 mm pellets with increasing width of distribution.

#### *3.2. The effect of pellet size distribution*

Size polydispersity of pellets is an important factor to be considered if the pellets are to be film coated for controlled release ([Husson et al., 1992\)](#page-5-0). A computer simulation of a No. 0 capsule containing 1.0 mm pellets with a width of distribution of 0.8–1.2 mm is shown in Fig. 3. Fill weight data (Table 2) indicate that, for

Table 2

The effect of increasing width of distribution of pellets of mean size 1.0 mm on fill weight (mg)

No.	Capsule Pellet size				
		Uniform $0.9-1.1$ mm $0.8-1.2$ mm $0.7-1.3$ mm			
$\Omega$		$377.3 \pm 1.0$ $378.9 \pm 2.2$ $377.8 \pm 1.8$ $378.1 \pm 2.9$			
$\mathbf{1}$		$269.2 \pm 2.2$ $269.3 \pm 2.4$ $268.9 \pm 1.4$ $271.6 \pm 2.0$			
$\overline{c}$		$207.5 \pm 1.4$ $208.4 \pm 1.4$ $207.6 \pm 2.0$ $209.2 \pm 1.6$			
3		$150.7 \pm 1.2$ $151.8 \pm 1.3$ $151.2 \pm 1.3$ $151.1 \pm 1.6$			
$\overline{4}$		$134.8 \pm 1.2$ $135.4 \pm 1.0$ $135.2 \pm 1.1$ $136.2 \pm 0.9$			



Fig. 4. Computer simulation of a No. 0 capsule containing pellets with an aspect ratio of 1.5.

all sizes of capsules, increasing polydispersity within the set range normally seen with pellets produced by extrusion and spheronisation has no effect on fill weight or weight variation.

## *3.3. The effect of pellet shape*

A whole range of pellet shapes ranging from rounded cylinders to dumbbells and ellipsoids can be obtained if the process of extrusion and spheronisation is not optimised ([Rowe, 1985\).](#page-5-0) Recently, [Chopra et al.](#page-5-0) [\(2002\)](#page-5-0) have investigated the influence of pellet shape on capsule filling. Shapes in this case were defined in terms of their aspect ratio and it was these shapes that have been simulated in this study. A computer simulation of a No. 0 capsule filled with pellets with an aspect ratio of 1.5 is shown in Fig. 4. Fill weight data for all sizes of capsule with pellets of increasing aspect ratio both mono-dispersed and with a distribution of between

Table 3 The effect of pellet shape as defined by aspect ratio (AR) on fill weight (mg)

No.	Capsule Aspect ratio				
	AR 1.0	AR 1.2	AR 1.5	AR 2.0	
(A) Uniform size					
$\Omega$	$377.3 \pm 1.0$	$380.2 \pm 2.4$	$370.7 \pm 3.6$	$354.0 \pm 5.6$	
1	$269.2 + 2.2$	$271.2 \pm 1.5$	$265.4 \pm 2.9$	$250.5 \pm 5.6$	
2	$207.5 \pm 1.4$	$208.0 \pm 2.0$	$205.1 \pm 2.8$	$194.4 \pm 3.7$	
3	$150.7 \pm 1.2$	$152.4 \pm 2.0$	$149.2 \pm 2.1$	$141.6 \pm 1.8$	
4	$134.8 \pm 1.2$	$136.0 \pm 1.2$	$132.5 + 2.4$	$128.0 \pm 2.9$	
	$(B)$ Size distribution 0.9–1.1				
0	$378.9 \pm 2.2$	$376.9 \pm 2.8$	$367.6 \pm 4.5$	$344.8 \pm 5.8$	
1	$269.3 \pm 2.4$	$271.4 \pm 1.9$	$262.7 + 3.2$	$248.9 \pm 3.0$	
2	$208.4 \pm 1.4$	$209.0 \pm 1.3$	$204.5 \pm 3.5$	$192.7 \pm 2.5$	
3	$151.8 \pm 1.3$	$150.8 \pm 1.7$	$148.1 \pm 2.4$	$141.6 \pm 3.8$	
4	$135.4 \pm 1.0$	$135.8 \pm 1.4$	$134.4 \pm 2.2$	$126.3 \pm 3.9$	

0.8 and 1.2 mm are shown in Table 3. For aspect ratios of 1.2 and below, there is no difference in fill weight or weight variation. However, above this value fill weight decreases, with a corresponding increase in variability. This confirms experimental observations [\(Chopra](#page-5-0) [et al., 2002\).](#page-5-0)

#### *3.4. The effect of the addition of aggregates*

Pellet aggregation either due to electrostatic charging or as a consequence of a non-optimised drying process is a problem well-known in the filling of pellets into hard shell capsules ([Pfeifer and Marquardt, 1986\).](#page-5-0) Unfortunately, there are no details of the shape of aggregated pellets in the literature and it is not possible to simulate the aggregation process per se. However, it is possible to pre-define an aggregate shape and then simulate the effect of the inclusion of a fixed concentration of the aggregates in non-aggregated pellets of a defined shape and size and predict fill weight. For an initial study, a computer simulation of a No. 0 capsule containing  $10\%$  (v/v) of an aggregate of five spherical pellets [\(Fig. 1e\)](#page-1-0) in mono-dispersed spherical pellets of 1.0 mm diameter is shown in Fig. 5a. Removal of the non-aggregated pellets shows the distribution of the aggregates in the capsule shell (Fig. 5b). Inclusion of this aggregate at this concentration did not have any effect on fill weight or variability for all capsule sizes (Table 4). However, if this aggregate was replaced by 10% (v/v) of a larger looser type consisting of seven spherical pellets ([Fig. 1d](#page-1-0)), under-filling occurred.



Fig. 5. Computer simulations of a No. 0 capsule containing 1.0 mm uniform pellets with 10% aggregate 2 complete (a) and showing just the spatial distribution of the aggregates (b).

Table 4

The effect of aggregate shape (aggregate concentration 10%) on fill weight (mg)

Capsule No.	Fill weight (mg)		
	Aggregate 1	Aggregate 2	
$\Omega$	$357.1 \pm 2.0$	$374.4 \pm 2.5$	
	$255.3 \pm 1.7$	$267.1 \pm 2.2$	
$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$	$198.0 \pm 1.6$	$205.6 \pm 2.0$	
3	$144.0 \pm 1.6$	$150.1 \pm 1.0$	
	$126.4 \pm 1.5$	$134.8 \pm 1.5$	

# **4. Conclusion**

The results seen with the computer simulations are in agreement with the experimental observations previously reported for filling real capsules with real pellets on automatic capsule filling machines. Although it is not yet possible to simulate the specific process of a filling machine within practicable computer resources, computer simulation can decouple the variables and <span id="page-5-0"></span>allow the investigation of those pellet variables (size, shape, polydispersity) that are known to affect capsule filling. An area not previously studied is that of aggregate shape, size, polydispersity and concentration. Preliminary studies, albeit with only two aggregate shapes indicate that it may be possible to define aggregate shapes that would not have any effect on filling obviating the need for the inclusion of a sieving process prior to filling. The computer simulations are rapid and easy to perform allowing a large number of variables to be studied within realistic timescales. It is envisaged that this technique will be invaluable to formulators developing pelletted products where drug cost and availability are important issues and will provide a starting point for experimental capsule filling operations.

#### **References**

Chopra, R., Podczeck, F., Newton, J.M., Alderborn, G., 2002. The influence of pellet shape and film coating on the filling of pellets into hard shell capsules. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 53, 327– 333.

- Cole, G.C., 1987. Capsule types, filling tests and formulation. In: Ridgway, K. (Ed.), Hard Capsules Development and Technology. The Pharmaceutical Press, London, pp. 165–169.
- Evans, K.E., Ferrar, M.D., 1989. The packing of thick fibres. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 22, 354–360.
- Husson, I., Leclerc, B., Spenlehauer, G., Veillard, M., Puisieux, F., Couarraze, G., 1992. Influence of size polydispersity on drug release from coated pellets. Int. J. Pharm. 86, 113–121.
- Intelligensys, 2001. MacroPac v4 with ShapeBuilder manual.
- Marquardt, H.G., Clement, H., 1970. On the dosage accuracy of pellets using a high-speed hard gelatine capsule filling and sealing machine. Drugs Made Germany 13, 21–33.
- Pfeifer, W., Marquardt, H.G., 1986. Investigations of the frequency and causes of dosage errors during the filling of hard gelatine capsules 2nd communication: dosage errors during the filling of pellets into hard gelatine capsules. Drugs Made Germany 29, 217–220.
- Rosato, A., Strandburg, K.J., Prinz, F., Swendsen, R.H., 1987. Why the Brazil nuts are on top: size segregation of particulate matter by shaking. Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1038–1040.
- Rowe, R.C., 1985. Spheronization: a novel pill making process. Pharm. Int. 6, 119–123.
- Soppe, W., 1990. Computer simulation of random packings of hard spheres. Powder Technol. 62, 189–196.